



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – DR. ROBERT E. FOWLER, SR., VICTORY MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (excused from the afternoon session at 1:16 pm) BROWN, L.B. McDONALD (excused from the afternoon session until 1:43 pm), and MACK

EXCUSED: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CHIEF DEPUTY CITY CLERK BEVERLY BRIDGES

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-570

DR. ROBERT E. FOWLER, SR., Victory Missionary Baptist Church, gave the invocation.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-577

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Pledge of Allegiance

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.
(9:24 – 9:25)
1-611

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

Under the preceding Recessed Special meeting, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was excused from the meeting to attend a National League of Cities Conference in Atlanta, Georgia.

(9:03)

1-1

Before recessing the meeting, MAYOR GOODMAN invited everyone to the celebration honoring JUDGE SEYMORE BROWN that would be held that morning in the City Hall Plaza.

(10:42)

2-220

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN honored the members of the Iron Crossman Motorcycle Club as December's Citizen of the Month. For the past 22 years the members have hosted a toy run in Las Vegas for less fortunate children. During the entire year the group has collected gifts for many organizations within the Valley, including St. Judes Ranch, the Shriners, and Candlelighters for Children. Last year the Salvation Army was asked to provide 40,000 toys for community children in need. This year the request is for at least 60,000 toys.

An unidentified member of the Motorcycle Club thanked the Council for supporting the Club, as well as all of the motorcycle enthusiasts who make donations and make it possible for the Club to help the various charities.

(9:25 – 9:28)

1-645

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE PARK AMBASSADOR PROGRAM

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services, and AMY CARVER, Manager of the Senior Citizens Programming Division, came forward at the request of MAYOR GOODMAN to share information about the Park Ambassador Program.

DR. JACKSON indicated that the staff of Leisure Services is very proud and grateful that this October in Denver, Colorado, the Leisure Services Department was recognized for program excellence for the Park Ambassador Program. She indicated that the Park Ambassadors represent the City in 13 of the City parks, generating good will to all of the citizens, distributing literature, assisting with potential problems, working with the marshals, and spotting possible difficulties with maintenance and forwarding that information to appropriate personnel. She also thanked the Deputy City Marshals Unit, as well as the Parks Division, for their assistance in making the Ambassador Program a cooperative program.

STEPHANIE RICHARDS, Program Specialist, thanked her fellow Park Ambassadors and stated that it has been an honor to work with them, for they do a wonderful job. She encouraged other citizens to become park ambassadors.

(9:28 – 9:30)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION TO KCLV CHANNEL-2 DEBUT OF CLOSED CAPTIONING

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

DAVID RIGGLEMAN, Director, Office of Communications, RAMON TORRES, KCLV, KEN BROWN and NORMA LEA CHRISMON, representatives for the Nevada Association of the Deaf, and KATIE BLACK, sign language interpreter, came forward to assist MAYOR GOODMAN with the presentation.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that this cutting edge item in governmental television programming is very important to the City Council. KCLV Channel 2 is the first, and maybe the only, Channel that has regular programming in Spanish. Now Channel 2 will have closed captioning for the hearing impaired. The City is really trying to address the issues of the entire community. He indicated that an estimated 20 million Americans have enough of a hearing loss that they cannot fully understand television programs. This is especially true of the elderly, the fastest-growing category of deaf and hard of hearing individuals. The City Council has always believed in an open dialogue with the citizenry and open access to government.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Ceremonial – Continued

Recognition to KCLV Channel 2 Debut of Closed Captioning

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MR. RIGGLEMAN for making closed captioning a reality for the City Council meetings. When he was first elected, the request was made to him that closed captioning be provided. It has taken a lot of time and effort, but it is finally here.

MR. RIGGLEMAN accepted the proclamation for KCLV's commitment to opening government to the people. He commented that he was very excited to be able to provide closed captioning for the Council meetings. He gave credit to the Council for setting the initiative and making it possible. The company that won the bid is located in Minden, Nevada, where they listen over the telephone line and provide instant captioning.

He pointed out that the City would like to provide other closed captioning on Channel 2, but there is a cost associated with it. He encouraged anyone who might be interested in underwriting some of that cost for any program on Channel 2 to contact him. It would certainly be money well invested because it would assist in opening government to all segments of the population.

Through the interpretation of MS. BLACK, MS. CHRISMON thanked the Council for its efforts in getting captioning for the meetings. Individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing can now feel that they are a part of the City of Las Vegas and are not left out.

(9:30 – 9:35)

1-837

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Ceremonial - Continued

Plaque Presentation to the City Council by the Veterans Day Parade Committee

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN remarked that this year's Veterans Day Parade was the best ever, with about 40,000 citizens present to show their patriotism.

DAVID CRAIG, Chairman of the Veterans Day Parade Committee, presented a plaque to MAYOR GOODMAN in appreciation for the Council's support and dedication to the Veterans Day Parade. He also presented a certificate of appreciation to COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for her magnificent singing performance. Lastly, he assured MAYOR GOODMAN that he would have bands the following year.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-997

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Ceremonial - Continued

Angel Tree Presentation

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD said that at this time of year when everyone is committed to children, the City partnered with the Las Vegas Housing Authority by putting out an Angel Tree. The program is aimed at families who are trying to transition from public assistance and Section 8 housing to self-sufficiency. The Tree will be placed in the Council Chambers during the Council meetings and on the 10th floor for anyone, including the corporate sector, to participate. She noted that the Howard Hughes Corporation already agreed to sponsor 50 of the 200 children. She asked that any corporate member who is willing to take a large group of children to contact the Council office at 229-6405.

She advised that participants must submit their unwrapped gifts to the Council office no later than December 19, to be presented at the party on December 22, from 12:30 to 2:30 p.m., at the City of Las Vegas Arts Center. She added that the theme for this year is "Be an Angel for an Angel."

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-1075

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Ceremonial - Continued

Recognition of Lee Douglas, Principal, Joseph Neal Elementary School

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized and welcomed LEE DOUGLAS, Principal, Joseph Neal Elementary School, who participated in the CEO/Principal for a Day Program and switched jobs with CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC for a day.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC explained that CARLOS GARCIA, the School District Superintendent, introduced the CEO/Principal for a Day Program, which links 150 different people in the Valley with various principals in the public school system to live their life for a day, and vice versa. He felt very fortunate to be linked with MS. DOUGLAS. This is the most special experience he has had in the nine years of serving as City Attorney. It was a real privilege to spend an entire day with some of the brightest kids in the Clark County School District. He opined that the taxpayers' money is being well spent. He thanked the Mayor for letting him take the time to spend a day with MS. DOUGLAS.

MS. DOUGLAS stated that the CEO/Principal for a Day Program is thrilling and she was very excited to be at the Council meeting for the day. She noted that her students loved having CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC at the school and appreciated all the information and knowledge he shared with them. She felt honored to be shadowing CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC.

(9:41 – 9:44)

1-1192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 37 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 56 to 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1321

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of November 7, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:45 – 9:46)

1-1366

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 10/16/01 - 10/31/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 12,253,803.09
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,403,157.25
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 22,192,561.05
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

NOTE: Item 41 was initially approved under the Consent Agenda motion; however, COUNCILMAN REESE later motioned to recall the matter for clarification, and then motioned for approval. Both motions carried unanimously with WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that items 40, 41, 43, and 44 be approved by the City Council. She requested that item 42 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Real Estate

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Trader Joe's, Location: 2101 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 25, Date: December 18-23, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Wine Tasting, Responsible Person in Charge: Marc Torrez - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Shirley Galardi, dba Choices, 1729 East Charleston Blvd. (Non-operational), Shirley Galardi, 100%, To: Bola I, LLC, dba La Salsa Fresh Mexican Grill, 450 Fremont Street, Lawrence T. Simon, Mgr, Mmbr, and Monica A. Simon, Mgr, Mmbr, 15% jointly as husband and wife, Robert H. Whalen, Mgr, Mmbr, 15%, Laura A. Cunningham, Mgr, Mmbr, 15%, Nevada Franchise, LLC, Mmbr, 55%, Lawrence, T. Simon, Mgr, Pres, Secy, Treas, SFFG, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Lawrence T. Simon, Mgr, Pres, Secy, Treas - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License, Paragon of Nevada, Inc., dba Hungry Hunter, 2380 South Rainbow Blvd., Richard F. Greenis, Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Jonathan's, Inc., dba Hammerhead's Bar & Grill, 5310 West Sahara Ave., Suite D, Peter J. Mandas, Dir, Pres, Peter, M. Mandas, Secy, Treas, Peter J. Mandas Trust, 100%, Peter J. Mandas, Co-Trustee, Peter M. Mandas, Co-Trustee, Linda L. Mandas, Co-Trustee - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to Participate in Operations for a Nonrestricted Gaming License, The Resort at Summerlin, LP, dba Regent Las Vegas, 221 North Rampart Blvd., William J. Paulos - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval to Participate in Operations for a Nonrestricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Auctioneer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Sidlow & McGuire, dba Victorian Casino Antiques, 1421 South Main Street, Peter J. Sidlow, Ptnr, 50%, Patrick S. McGuire, Ptnr, 50%, LaVern M. Eckles, Qualified Employee - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Auctioneer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Carisah D. Melville, dba Carisah D. Melville, 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, Carisah D. Melville, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Feng Xia Han, dba Feng Xia Han, From: 4601 West Sahara Ave., Suite G, To: 4507 West Sahara Ave., Suite 2, Feng X. Han, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Sheri Chanel, dba Sheri Chanel, From: 2451 North Rainbow Blvd., Unit 2090, To: 128 Foxdale Way, Sheri L. Chanel, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Kimberly Brooke Southard, dba Kimberly Brooke Southard, From: 3064 Yankee Clipper Dr., To: 2922 Lake East Dr., Kimberly B. Southard, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Patrick Brittain, dba Patrick Brittain, From: 3064 Yankee Clipper Dr., To: 2922 Lake East Dr., Patrick Brittain, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Xiu Chun Qi, dba Xiu Chun Qi, From: 3552 Wynn Rd., To: 2880 South Las Vegas Blvd., Xiu C. Qi, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Shaw Enterprises, dba Centennial Hills Salon & Day Spa, 7991 Tropical Pkwy., Suite 120, Marvin R. Shaw, Dir, Pres, 50%, Jenny L. Shaw-Lockridge, Secy, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 020011-TC, Open End Contract for Various Light Duty Vehicles - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: VARIOUS SUPPLIERS (\$1,091,786 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,091,786

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Trans.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of various light duty vehicles for the City of Las Vegas. Awards will be made for Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 & 16. Lots 5, 7, 8 & 15 will be rejected and will be rebid at a later date.

POC: Friendly Ford, Bart Peterson - (702) 877-6526
POC: Fairway Chevrolet, Tony Lopez - (702) 210-7280
POC: Volvo Truck Center, Larry Kidd - (702) 649-4256
POC: Carson City Dodge, Joel Cryer - (888) 883-2028
POC: Blaine Equipment, James Burkett - (702) 399-2700
POC: Bill Heard Chevrolet, Ed Tozier - (702) 870-9444

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of bids for Lots 5, 7, 8, & 15 and approve the award of Bid Number 020011-TC, Open End Contract for Various Light Duty Vehicles, Lots 1-4, 6, 9-14 & 16 to various suppliers in the aggregate amount of \$1,091,786 from date of award through current production year.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Consent – Finance and Business Services
Item 17 – Bid No. 020011-TC

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for one (1) Pierce Saber Air Resource Unit (TG) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: PIERCE MANUFACTURING INC. (\$381,662 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$381,662

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of one (1) Pierce Saber Air Resource Unit with 12" raised roof. The Fire & Rescue Department will use the Air Resource Unit for response to fire emergency calls throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(d), Equipment, which by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Curtis Clifford - (800) 352-4266

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of one (1) Pierce Saber Air Resource Unit to Pierce Manufacturing Inc. in the amount of \$381,662.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of withdrawal of bid and award of Bid Number 020016-CW, Annual Requirements Contract for Printing Paper - various departments - Award recommended to: VARIOUS VENDORS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$225,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$225,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Annual requirements contract for printing paper for various departments. Unisource (Lot I) requests withdrawal of their bid.

Lot I-Office Depot

Lots II, III, IV,V & VI - Unisource

POC: Office Depot - Timi Ferguson- (702) 739-0005

POC: Unisource - Tak Kawahara - (714) 690-6605)

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the withdrawal of bid and award of Bid Number 020016-CW, ARC for Printing Paper to various vendors for the period from date of award to December 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$225,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of authorization to use State of Nevada Vehicle Fleet Price Agreement 6542 (TC) for the purchase of five (5) Chevrolet Tahoe CK 15706 SUVs - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: CHAMPION CHEVROLET (\$145,450 - Capital Projects Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$145,450

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Trans

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This authorization will allow the City of Las Vegas to use the State of Nevada Vehicle Fleet Price Agreement 6542 for the one time purchase of five (5) Chevrolet Tahoe CK 15700 SUVs.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join or use the contracts of other state or local governments.

POC: Ziggy Terelak - (775) 786-3111

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of State of Nevada Vehicle Fleet Price Agreement 6542 for the purchase of five (5) Chevrolet Tahoe CK 15706 SUVs from Champion Chevrolet in the amount of \$145,450.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Preapproval of Bid Number 02.15241.06-DAR, Muni Pool Hand Hold Tile Replacement to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder and approve contingency amount of \$5,200 - Department of Field Operations (Estimated monetary amount of \$42,000 - Capital Projects Funds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$42,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fac. Maint.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work consists of replacement of the hand hold tile at the Municipal Pool. The current tile has several areas of breakage that could cause injuries.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove the award of Bid Number 02.15241.06-DAR, Muni Pool Hand Hold Tile Replacement to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder in the estimated monetary amount of \$42,000 and approve the contingency amount of \$5,200.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 020019-DAR, Anthracite Filter Media - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: ANTHRACITE FILTER MEDIA COMPANY (\$28,140 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$28,140

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the replacement of anthracite filter media in the filtration process to filter secondary water from nitrification at the Water Pollution Control Facility. The apparent low bidder failed to comply with the Technical Specifications of the bidding documents. This is considered material in nature and staff deems their bid as non-responsive.

POC: J. Carlos Baez - (310) 258-9116

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 020019-DAR, Anthracite Filter Media to Anthracite Filter Media Company in the amount of \$28,140.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of all bids received on Bid Number 01.1762.05-RC, 2001-2002 Annual Requirements AC Pavement Construction Contract

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work will be performed throughout the City of Las Vegas and generally consist of construction of permanent asphaltic concrete pavement sections. The budgeted amount for this project was \$1,200,000; however, all bids received were over the budgeted amount, and, therefore, award cannot be made at this time. New specifications will be written, and the project will be re-bid the first of the year.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of all bids for Bid Number 01.1762.05-RC, 2001 - 2002 Annual Requirements AC Pavement Construction Contract.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT

DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to increase current Purchase Order (PO210338) for first party receivable management collection agency service agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning June 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 (\$60,000 – General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$45,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: HOME

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 18, 2001 City Council approved an increase in the amount of \$143,000 to the current Purchase Order for NCO for first party debt collection services. Due to NCO's outstanding performance since that increase, an additional \$60,000 is required to cover the projected expenses for the remaining service agreement period (through December 31, 2001). NCO's fees are contingency based (as opposed to a flat rate) and NCO has collected more in delinquent fines and fees than projected.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City approve an increase to the current Purchase Order (PO210338) for the first party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning June 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001 in the amount of \$60,000..

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. November 15, 2000 Extension and Second Amendment to Collection Agency Services Agreement
3. Disclosure of Principals

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of reprogramming \$45,000 in FY99 Federal HOME funds from City of Las Vegas housing projects to Habitat for Humanity to purchase parcel number 139-21-611-004 , 989 Lawry, and to subdivide the parcel into three lots to build single family homes for low income persons - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$45,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: HOME

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Habitat for Humanity has requested \$45,000 for the purchase of parcel number 139-21-611-004. This parcel will be subdivided into three lots on which to build three single family homes for purchase by low income persons.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends approval of this reprogramming of funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the subrecipient after they have been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fax dated October 30, 2001 from Habitat for Humanity

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of separating the City of Las Vegas Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) administration and responsibility from the joint City-Clark County Interlocal Agreement for administering these funds and ratify all previous actions taken by City staff in this regard - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1995, the City of Las Vegas and Clark County entered into an Interlocal Agreement to jointly administer Emergency Shelter Grant funds. On July 30, 2001, in order to provide City Council broader discretion in ensuring ESG funds are allocated in a manner which complements other services provided to the homeless, the Director of the Neighborhood Services Department notified Clark County that the City would begin administering the allocation of these funds separately from the County.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends City Council approve this action

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter to Doug Bell at Clark County dated July 30, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of reprogramming \$113,478.72 in FY99 Low Income Housing Trust Funds from the Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) Sunset Park project to the CDPCN Louise Shell/Harmony Park project - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$113,478.72

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Low Income Housing Trust Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

\$113,478.72 in LIHTF was not utilized on the CDPCN Sunset Parks Apartments project. CDPCN has requested that these funds be reprogrammed to the Louise Shell/Harmony Park project instead. The Louise Shell/Harmony Park project is a 100 unit rental housing project for low income seniors located at the corner of Martin Luther King and Lake Mead.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve this reprogramming of funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreement with the subrecipient after it has been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

December 27, 2000 letter from Community Development Programs Center of Nevada

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Decker/Perich/Holmes/Sabatini for architectural and engineering design services for the new Mirabelli Senior Center located at 6208 Hargrove Avenue for \$115,100 of Community Development Block Grant funding - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$115,100

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Community Development Block Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 5, 2000, the City Council approved the interlocal agreement with Clark County for the rehabilitation of the Metro Substation located at 6208 Hargrove Avenue. On March 21, 2001, the city approved the First Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement for the demolition of the facility and construction of a new senior center. In order to provide a comprehensive bid package for the project, technical specifications generated by an architect are required. Decker/Perich/Holmes/Sabatini has been selected to provide this service in the amount of \$115,100.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve this Professional Services Agreement with Decker/Perich/Holmes/Sabatini.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he wanted the matter discussed to let the public know that even though it was delayed for quite some time, the City is moving forward with the commitment made. He thanked SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, and her staff for their diligence and noted that the City has had a good working relationship with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and Clark County on this project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Neighborhood Services

Item 28 – Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Decker/Perich/Holmes/Sabatini for architectural and engineering design services for the new Mirabelli Senior Center located at 6208 Hargrove Avenue for \$115,100 of Community Development Block Grant Funding

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. SEGERBLOM noted that the project has brought active neighbors and seniors in to have a say in the design of the project. The seniors are very eager to get started, and she assured the Council that staff would work with them.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that although it is in Ward 1, Ward 2 residents will also be able to take advantage of this facility. She also thanked MS. SEGERBLOM for her work.

There was no further discussion.

(9:47 – 9:50)

1-1442

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels for a Sight Visibility Improvement Project on the south side of Lake Mead Boulevard between Sawyer Avenue and Madeline Drive (\$15,000 - CLV Traffic Improvements) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$15,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CLV Traffic Improvements

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 1, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located on the west side of Decatur Boulevard, between Iron Mountain Road and Log Cabin Way, and along the new Iron Mountain Road alignment, between Decatur Boulevard and Bradley Road, along the old Iron Mountain road alignment, east of Bradley Road, and along the new Thom Boulevard alignment, south of the new Iron Mountain Road alignment - APN 125-01-701-001 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for portions of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) and the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 1, T20S, R59E, and for portions of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) and the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 12, T20S, R59E, M.D.M., for road, sewer and drainage purposes for portions of Alexander Road, between Cliff Shadows Parkway and Vegas Vista Trails - 137-01-401-010, -801-006, 137-12-101-004 and -501-001 - County and Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 7, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located along Iron Mountain Road, between Fort Apache Road and Tee Pee Lane; along Tee Pee Lane, between Iron Mountain Road and Brent Road; and along Brent Road, between Fort Apache Road and Tee Pee Lane - 125-06-002-009, 125-07-501-001, 125-07-501-002, 125-07-602-002 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sanitary Sewer refunding agreement with Kimball Hills Homes for Kimball Hills at Lone Mountain West (\$33,406 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$33,406

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Kimball Hills Homes is developing property known as "Kimball Hills at Lone Mountain West". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the Alexander Road sewer from the western edge of Lone Mountain Heights to the manhole on the west side of the Western Beltway. This agreement also includes \$123,456.30 in extension costs from future reimbursable connection fees. The basis for the over-sizing and extension costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$33,406.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Sewer Refunding Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Agreement (E.A.72697) by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Nevada Department of Transportation for the adjustment of sewer manholes on Sahara Avenue from Paradise Road to Fremont Street /Boulder Highway (\$44,400 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$44,400

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement will allow the Nevada Department of Transportation's contractor to adjust the City's sanitary sewer manholes on Sahara Avenue from Paradise Road to Fremont Street/Boulder Highway as part of their project. The estimated bid price for this is \$600 per manhole and the City has approximately 74 manholes that will need to be adjusted. This agreement is estimated to cost \$44,400.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

NDOT Agreement E.A. 72697

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Louise Helton on behalf of Junior League of Las Vegas Endowment Fund, owner (northwest corner of Bridger Avenue and Ninth Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 1'-7' wide strip of landscape along the Bridger Avenue property line extending 115' westward from Ninth Street and an approximate 4' wide strip of landscape along the Ninth Street property line extending 125' northward from Bridger Avenue consisting of decomposed granite, decorative rock, shrubs, drainage system, and sidewalk to satisfy a condition of Z-0010-00 for the Junior League Office. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northwest corner of Bridger Avenue and Ninth Street)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Ninth Street typical section and Bridger Avenue typical section)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Ernie Becker V, on behalf of Laule Becker II, owner (northeast corner of Decatur Boulevard and Alta Drive) - Ward 1 (McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 2' to 3' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of Decatur Boulevard extending approximately 125' along the property line consisting of gravel, trees and shrubs of various heights and sizes to satisfy a condition of Z-0080-65(3) for a proposed Walgreens. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Decatur Boulevard 200' north of Alta Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - R-148-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph on Cimarron Road between Sahara Avenue and Ducharme Avenue - Wards 1 and 2 (M. McDonald and L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Cimarron Road has an 80-foot right of way. There are 8 homes on this section of Cimarron Road. Traffic studies conducted on Cimarron Road showed that the 85th percentile speed was 39 mph. Staff is recommending that the speed limit be raised from 25 mph to 35 mph.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-148-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 37 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 56 to 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1321

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-149-2001 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1471 – Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$633,533.72 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$633,533.72

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way line of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right-of-way line of Centennial Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-149-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-150-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the hearing on the Provisional Order regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1474 - Rainbow Boulevard (Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road) (\$251,133.01 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Wards 4 and 5 (Brown and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$251,133.01

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, and water and sewer laterals. The entire project length of Rainbow Boulevard from Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road is located in Wards 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6; however the properties involved in the SID are located in Wards 4 and 5.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-150-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Lease Agreement to lease a portion of surface parking spaces located at 600 South Fourth Street known as Parcel Number 139-34-311-110 to Demsey, Roberts & Smith, Ltd. (Gain of \$11,760/year – Municipal Parking Enterprise Fund) – Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$11,760 Gain/Year

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate & Assets

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Municipal Parking Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Through conversations with the Office of Business Development, the Real Estate & Assets Division of Public Works learned of Demsey, Roberts & Smith, Ltd. request to lease 28 parking spaces at this location. Each of the 28 spaces lease for \$35 a month. By providing these 28 spaces for use to the law firm, it will assist in maintaining an existing business located in the downtown area. The lease is month to month. The law firm is responsible for all maintenance and clean up.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2001-4 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County School District to establish a modular unit to be located at Gibson Middle School, 3900 West Washington, to provide recreational programs for the Department of Leisure Services - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff is bringing forward MOU #2001-4 to allow the Department of Leisure Services to use land on CCSD property to provide space to locate a modular building. The modular building will be used by Leisure Services for office space to facilitate student participation in recreational programs after school and on weekends.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. MOU #2001-4
2. Site Map
3. Aerial Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

NOTE: This item was initially approved under the Consent Agenda motion; however, COUNCILMAN REESE later motioned to recall the matter for clarification. Both motions carried unanimously with WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if this project would cause any fiscal impact to the City. DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department, answered that the modular unit has been in discussion for sometime and has been paid for already. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that there is a provision for up to \$10,000 for filing and recording appropriate documents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Real Estate

Item 41 – Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2001-4 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark county School District to establish a modular unit to be located at Gibson Middle School, 3900 West Washington, to provide recreational programs for the Department of Leisure Services

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. JACKSON advised that the site was considered a satellite while the non-profit incorporation was obtained. It is now classified as a community school. Staffing for the facility is already in place, so the only real impact would be \$10,000 for the modular building. DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management Division, concurred with the comments of DR. JACKSON.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47/10:00 – 10:04)

1-1384/1-1954

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a First Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement between the Board of Regents of the University and Community College of Southern Nevada on behalf of the Community College of Southern Nevada and the City of Las Vegas for the construction of soccer fields for location at the Charleston Campus, 6375 West Charleston - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On October 25, 2001, the Real Estate & Assets Division of Public Works received a letter from CCSN explaining the reduction in the scope of construction of the soccer complex originally agreed upon in June 2000. This amendment will delete the original Attachment A to the Interlocal and replaced with Attachment A-1. The amended Interlocal is identical to the site development plan just recently approved by Council. CCSD states in the letter that the complex is scheduled for completion May 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee held this item in abeyance to the 12/17/2001 Real Estate Committee meeting. Staff recommends approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from CCSN
2. Attachment A-1
3. Site Map

MOTION:**M. McDONALD – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused****MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD advised that due to the US95 Expansion, Ward 1 lost a park, which would have been replaced by providing green and recreational space at the Community College Charleston Campus. However, after discussions with DR. REMINGTON, President of the Southern Nevada Community College, he found out that the events of 9-11-2001 also economically impacted the College and that going forward with this project would cause a greater hardship for them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Real Estate

Item 42 – Approval of a First Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement between the Board of Regents of the University and Community College of Southern Nevada on behalf of the Community College of Southern Nevada and the City of Las Vegas for the construction of soccer fields for location at the Charleston Campus

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that as much as he wants this project to come to fruition, he does not want any more people to be put out of work. Therefore, he moved to strike the matter. But he promised that with the leadership of the Mayor and the present Council members, the soccer complex would one day be realized.

He added that in the meantime the already-approved Redwood Oakey Park will provide green space for this neighborhood. Redwood Oakey Park is intended to be a very special park that will serve as a memorial for the firefighters. He will also ensure that the money that was allocated for the subject project will go toward green space for residents of Wards 1 and 2, especially because of the park they lost as a result of the US-95 expansion.

Lastly, COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his appreciation to MR. REMINGTON for his leadership and his commitment to work with the neighborhood and the Council on future green space.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD clarified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that the matter could be stricken even though it was held in abeyance at the 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee meeting.

She then remarked that earlier in the week at neighborhood meetings she and COUNCILMAN BROWN started discussions on a regional park in the Buffalo and Washington area, which would service Wards 2, 4, 1, and 5. Perhaps these monies could be reprogrammed for that initiative.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed that COUNCILMAN McDONALD'S desire was to schedule an agenda item before the City Council to earmark the dollars for this project toward another project that would service the same immediate area.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated to CITY MANAGER VALENTINE that he would appreciate being advised in advance of upcoming issues pertaining to Ward 1 being held in abeyance.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to place an item on the Council agenda to allocate the \$750,000 for this project toward another neighborhood park project to benefit Wards 1 and 2 residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Real Estate

Item 42 – Approval of a First Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement between the Board of Regents of the University and Community College of Southern Nevada on behalf of the Community College of Southern Nevada and the City of Las Vegas for the construction of soccer fields for location at the Charleston Campus

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE instructed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE that all the Council members receive briefings on the money that was set aside for this project to ensure it is earmarked for Wards 1 and 2.

There was no further discussion.

(9:50 – 10:00)

1-1540

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Development Agreement Second Amendment and Restrictive Covenant Running With The Land between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide offsite improvements for the new Operations and Maintenance building at the City's Waste Water Treatment Plant located at 6005 Vegas Valley Drive - County - Near Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A condition for issuing the building permit for the new Operations & Maintenance building is to provide offsite improvements to the area adjacent to the WPCF. The agreement includes a restrictive covenant running with the land that describes the improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Development Agreement Second Amendment
2. Restrictive Covenant Running With The Land
3. Legal Descriptions
4. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Lease Agreement to lease a portion of surface parking spaces located on Parcel Numbers 139-34-311-106 and -107, in the vicinity of Bonneville Avenue and Fourth Street to Raleigh, Hunt, McGarry & Drizin, P.C. (Gain of \$5,280/year – Municipal Parking Enterprise Fund) – Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$5,280 Gain/Year

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate & Assets

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Municipal Parking Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On September 16, 2001, the Real Estate & Assets Division of Public Works received a letter from Raleigh, Hunt, McGarry & Drizin, P.C. requesting to lease 11 parking spaces. Each of the 11 spaces lease for \$40 a month. By providing these 11 spaces for use to the law firm, it will assist in maintaining an existing business located in the downtown area. The lease is month to month.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/3/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Lease Agreement
2. 9/16/01 Letter

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 –27, 29-36, 38-40, 43, and 44 - UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Items 28 and 41: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Items 37 and 42: STRICKEN under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:47)

1-1384

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a reoccurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that there was nothing to report.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to report to him on the development plans for the intersections of Washington/Decatur and Nebraska/Decatur, because he has received many complaints from the surrounding residents.

There was no further discussion.

(10:04 – 10:05)

1-2093

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved December 6, 2000
subject to one year review: Billy Ray Finks, 1317 Mezpah, Las Vegas, NV 89106

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 46, 47, 48, and 49 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN reported that he spoke with representatives of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department who indicated to him that there were no concerns to report on the appellants for Items 46 through 49.

There was no further discussion.

(10:05 – 10:06)

1-2132

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved December 6, 2000
subject to one year review: Kenneth Ray Louis, 5250 Stewart #2158, Las Vegas, Nevada 89110

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 46, 47, 48, and 49 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN reported that he spoke with representatives of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department who indicated to him that there were no concerns to report on the appellants for Items 46 through 49.

There was no further discussion.

(10:05 – 10:06)

1-2132

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved December 6, 2000
subject to one year review: Marta Colleen Meehan, 3008 Vegas Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89106

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 46, 47, 48, and 49 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN reported that he spoke with representatives of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department who indicated to him that there were no concerns to report on the appellants for Items 46 through 49.

There was no further discussion.

(10:05 – 10:06)

1-2132

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved December 6, 2000
subject to one year review: Frank B. Valencia, 137 South 15th Street, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 46, 47, 48, and 49 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN reported that he spoke with representatives of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department who indicated to him that there were no concerns to report on the appellants for Items 46 through 49.

There was no further discussion.

(10:05 – 10:06)

1-2132

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Fleming's/Northwest-1, Limited Partnership, dba Fleming's Prime Steakhouse, 8721 West Charleston Blvd., Outback/Fleming's, LLC, Gen Ptnr, 88%, Chris T. Sullivan, Cmmtee Mmbr, Kevin M. Whattoff, Ltd Ptnr, Ops Dir, 4%, William J. Sullivan, Ltd Ptnr, Proprietor, 6% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from John T. Moran III, Esq.
3. Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY JOHN T. MORAN III was present on behalf of the Outback Steakhouse. He stated it was a pleasure working with staff on this matter, which turned out to be quite complicated.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license and recommended approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 50 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Fleming's/Northwest-1, Limited Partnership, dba Fleming's Prime Steakhouse, 8721 West Charleston Blvd., Outback/Fleming's, LLC, Gen Ptnr, 88%, Chris T. Sullivan, Cmmtee Mmbr, Kevin M. Whattoff, Ltd Ptnr, Ops Dir, 4%, William J. Sullivan, Ltd Ptnr, Proprietor, 6%

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD confirmed with ATTORNEY MORAN that SAM GERMANY, whose wall was damaged, would be contacted by the applicant to expedite necessary repairs.

There was no further discussion.

(10:06 – 10:09)

1-2203

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, KSH Enterprises, Inc., dba Allstar Minimart, 2339 North Jones Blvd., George H. Shawshani, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval without further review

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY DAVE CROSBY, 711 S. 8th Street, appeared representing GEORGE SHAWSHANI, who was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that at the original hearing there were concerns with drug paraphernalia in the store. Since that time, many inspections have been performed and there were no concerns to report. He recommended approval without any further reviews.

There was no further discussion.

(10:09 – 10:10)

1-2313

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding proposed amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual relating to residential development - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 7, 2001 the City Council approved the commercial development changes to the Town Center Development Standards Manual. Staff was directed to work with concerned parties and complete revisions to the residential development standards section of the Manual for presentation before the City Council on December 5, 2001. Upon City Council approval of these revisions, the City Attorney's office will prepare an ordinance for adoption.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Proposed amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual
2. Town Center Development Standards Manual (Revision adopted 11/7/01)

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED adoption of the resolution, with the Standards to become effective immediately, directing the City Attorney's office to prepare the standards in the resolution in ordinance form for Planning Commission and City Council consideration, and subject to the following amendments: insert Figure 17 under Section 1-A; label Figure 28 as "view all"; add the words "public street" to the heading "Overhead Lighting/Residential" under Section 2-D; and Number 1 under Section 3-G, Gated Communities shall read: "abutting public street stubs from previously approved adjacent developments shall be terminated in the cul-de-sac or shall be extended to connect another public street" – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that at the 11/7/2001 Council meeting the Council considered an ordinance to adopt the Town Center Standards for commercial and residential development. The ordinance was bifurcated, and the residential standards were taken out with instruction to staff to work with the homebuilders

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action regarding proposed amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual relating to residential development

MINUTES – Continued:

because of concerns they expressed at that meeting. It was difficult for staff to work with the City Attorney's office to have an ordinance drafted due to the short timeframe. With the leadership of COUNCILMAN MACK, staff worked with the homebuilders and developed a set of standards that were disseminated to the Council members, the Homebuilders Association, and the three developers in the Town Center area. There may still be some minor issues that staff will try to resolve with the homebuilders between now and the adoption of the ordinance. He recommended approval, with a request to direct the City Attorney's office to draft the necessary ordinance.

MARK DOPPE, President, Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association, generally concurred with the comments of MR. KNIGHT. Meetings were held with staff and COUNCILMAN MACK the previous week where solid progress was made on most issues. He requested that whatever action the Council takes that it recognize that there are still remaining issues at hand that must be resolved before the Homebuilders Association is ready to consent to the final ordinance on the Town Center Standards for residential development.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the process on this matter has really been successful. He noted that the matter at hand is to adopt a resolution, and then come back later with an ordinance to allow for some negotiating room. This way the developers that are ready to commence construction in the Town Center area can move forward.

CLIFF ANDREWS, Pardee Homes, 7220 Bermuda Road, stated that staff has worked very hard on this issue and expressed appreciation for COUNCILMAN MACK's leadership, which was key to the whole process.

He pointed out that as a company that has heavily invested in the Town Center and controls about a third of the residential acreage, Pardee Homes feels comfortable and satisfied with what has been resolved to date. He opined that Town Center is going to have a different taste and feel from the rest of the Northwest.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSSELL SKUSE, were present on behalf of Spinnaker Homes. MR. FIORENTINO stated that he and representatives of Spinnaker Homes have been working with staff on the concepts and standards for Town Center. Although a Tentative Map has already been approved for Spinnaker Homes under the old Town Center Standards, they understand the concerns of other developers and, therefore, have no objections to modifying the Standards

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action regarding proposed amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual relating to residential development

MINUTES – Continued:

to make them flexible. He requested that the approved Tentative Map for Spinnaker Homes be grandfathered in and that any projects that come in immediately adjacent to Spinnaker Homes be consistent.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked staff, the affected property owners, his Liaison, LENI SKAAR, the Neighborhood of Networks, and the CATS group for all their time and patience on this matter. He then made a motion for approval and listed some amendments. He also clarified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that no additional language would be necessary to grandfather in those developers whose projects have already been approved under the existing Town Center Standards.

There was no further discussion.

(10:10 – 10:22)

1-2372

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the status of Street Rehabilitation projects

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Public Works would like to update the Mayor and City Council on the status of street rehabilitation projects.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of the PowerPoint presentation given by Mr. Kajokowski

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, introduced CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, City Engineer, who gave the status of street rehabilitation projects using a PowerPoint presentation (made a part of these Final Minutes).

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the motor vehicle fuel tax fluctuates based on the price of fuel. MR. KAJKOWSKI and MR. GOECKE answered that the tax fluctuates with volume. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that motor vehicle fuel tax is a source of revenue that the legislature at the last session was trying to take away from the City. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE pointed out that it was the privileged tax that was being addressed at the last legislature.

With regard to the Lewis Corridor, COUNCILMAN REESE asked if the money funded is for the streetscape project at Fourth and Lewis. MR. KAJKOWSKI indicated that project includes some City funds for a fountain element; however, a large portion is coming from federal enhancement funds. The pre-approved bid should be awarded sometime in January. COUNCILMAN REESE noted that this is a very important project to the redevelopment of the downtown area and offered his assistance in expediting it.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Public Works

Item 53 – Report on the status of Street Rehabilitation projects

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the approval that was received during the week, which allows for construction to commence. CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, explained that because the funds are federal, there was a Section 106 review required for historic preservation purposes. The State Historic Preservation Office signed off on the project, allowing the bidding process to commence.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE directed that the Lewis Corridor project be fast tracked.

There was no further discussion.

(10:22 – 10:32)

1-2966

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – June Gilmore – Term Expiration 6-28-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members. However, at the present time, membership remains at five. Ms. June Gilmore is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Michael McDonald and, with her resignation, Councilman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be city resident, may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. This appointment was abeyed from the Council Meeting of November 7, 2001 to December 5, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilman Michael McDonald to appoint a new representative as his coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility. The appointee's term will expire June 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Manager of Business Services with resignation letter
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara A. Fraser
4. Resume of Julie Proud

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to APPOINT Julie Proud, 2509 Callita Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to notify

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 54 – Child Care Licensing Board

MOTION - Continued:

NOTE: A previous motion for abeyance to 12/19/2001 by M. McDONALD carried unanimously with WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

After Item 66 the matter was brought back for reconsideration at the request of JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, who recommended the appointment of MS. PROUD and gave a brief overview of her resume.

There was no further discussion.

(10:32 – 10:33/10:39 – 10:40)

1-3531/2-126

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Manny Abeyta,
Term Expiration 2-20-2003 (Moved Out of State)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Manny Abeyta has moved out of State. Mr. Abeyta's term will expire February 20, 2003. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. It will be necessary for a member of City Council to recommend an appointment to fill Mr. Abeyta's unexpired term. This appointment was abeyed from the Council meeting of November 7, 2001 to December 5, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Abeyta's unexpired term which expires February 20, 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT William McCurdy, 1117 Hart Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:33)
1-3571

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on the appointment of remaining members to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, 19 of the 21 members were appointed to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee. Councilman Larry Brown's designee was appointed September 5, 2001 and the third Community At Large representative, Mayor Goodman's designee, was abeyed at that same meeting until the Council Meeting of December 5, 2001, which will bring the full membership to 21. The term of appointment for this remaining member will also be until the conclusion of all Centennial activities occurs, unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted and City residency is not required.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Mayor and Council appoint Mayor Goodman's designee of Community At Large Representative to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority – Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 37 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 56 to 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1321

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Brent Blackburn, Term Expiration 1-6-2002

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Mr. Brent Blackburn's term will expire January 6, 2002. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. Mr. Blackburn is eligible and wishes to be reappointed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Mr. Blackburn is eligible for reappointment.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
3. Board Interest Form – W. Lane Startin (Ward 6)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to REAPPOINT Brent Blackburn – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that MR. BLACKBURN has done a great job as a member of the Park & Recreation Advisory Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(10:33 – 10:34)

1-3601

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION – Patrick J. Klenk, Term Expiration 12/31/2001
and Bob Stoldal, Term Expiration 12/31/2001

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Historic Preservation Commission is comprised of members filling nine different categories. Mr. Klenk represented Category 1, an “Experienced in Architecture” member. He is eligible and wishes to be reappointed. Mr. Stoldal represented Category 7, “Citizen-at-Large”. He is also eligible and wishes to be reappointed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Commission requires appointment by the City Council. As indicated by our Department of Planning and Development, Mr. Klenk and Mr. Stoldal are eligible for reappointment.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Planning & Development regarding the vacancies
2. Current listing and Authority-Historic Preservation Committee
3. Board Interest Forms – Robin Mercer, Rhonda M. McNeal

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to REAPPOINT Patrick J. Klenk and Bob Stoldal – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commended DAVID RIGGLEMAN for an excellent job in putting together the Channel 2 program on the Historic Preservation Commission. The members make a big commitment.

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, gave recognition to MR. KLENK and MR. STOLDAL for their excellent job and their commitment.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 58 – Historic Preservation Commission

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that both members have been very active on the Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(10:34 – 10:35)

1-3639

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-110 – Annexation No. A-0024-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Tenaya Way and Bilpar Road; Petitioned By: Mabuhay Commercial Investment, LLC; Acreage: 1.64 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Tenaya Way and Bilpar Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 14, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 11/23/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-110 and Location Map

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5390 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:35 – 10:36)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-112 – Annexation No. A-0041-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Severance Lane; Petitioned By: Kevin M. Parkinson Separate Property Trust, et al; Acreage: 15.21 acres; Zoned: County Zoning – R-E; City Equivalents – U (R) and U (L); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Severance Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (December 14, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 11/23/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-112 and Location Map

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5391 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:36 – 10:37)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-114 – Expands the membership of the Arts Commission. Proposed by: Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Arts Commission presently consists of ten members, a membership that was intended to be representative of a five-member City Council. With the addition of the two newest City Council seats, it has been deemed appropriate to add two corresponding seats to the Arts Commission. This bill will accomplish that change.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 11/23/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-114

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5392 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:37)

2-72

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-116 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1470 – Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95) (\$343,272.05 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$343,272.05

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, water main, and water and sewer laterals along Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95).

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 11/23/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-116

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5393 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:37 – 10:38)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-117 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1471 – Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$633,533.72 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 6 (Mack) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$633,533.72

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right-of-way line of Centennial Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/5/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 11/23/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-117

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5394 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:38 – 10:39)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-83 – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-115 – Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soils reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 11/19/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-118 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1482 – Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jensen Street (\$65,331.88 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Ward 4 (Brown) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,331.88

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/3/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Mack; First Reading – 11/21/2001; First Publication – 12/7/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-119 – Annexation No. A-0024-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 207.25 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-119 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-120 – Annexation No. A-0025-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.29 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (SC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-120 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-121 – Annexation No. A-0005-01(A) – Property Location: 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street; Petitioned By: Christopher and Sandra Payan; Acreage: 0.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-121 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-122 – Annexation No. A-0018-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive; Petitioned By: Keith Cole; Acreage: 0.49 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-122 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-123 – Annexation No. A-0019-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue; Petitioned By: Ray and Laurie Newmiller, et al; Acreage: 1.92 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-123 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-124 – Annexation No. A-0056-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Pardee Construction Company; Acreage: 2.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-124 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-125 – Annexation No. A-0057-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane; Petitioned By: Perma-Bilt Homes; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-125 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-126 – Annexation No. A-0072-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street; Petitioned By: Zachary and Cara Clay; Acreage: 1.33 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-126 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-127 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1474 - Rainbow Boulevard (Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$251,133.01

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, and water and sewer laterals. The entire project length of Rainbow Boulevard from Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road is located in Wards 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6; however the properties involved in the SID are located in Wards 4 and 5.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-127

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-128 – Amends the Zoning Code to increase allowable wall heights in residential districts and eliminate the corresponding administrative deviation procedures. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently establishes a maximum height of six feet for block walls in a rear yard or side yard, but allows the height of a wall to be increased to a maximum of eight feet by obtaining an administrative deviation. A change in State law this past session (AB 553) makes this procedure unavailable. This bill will eliminate the availability of the administrative deviation procedure for block walls, but will amend the Code to authorize rear-yard and side-yard walls to be built to a maximum height of eight feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing, and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-128

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-129 - Provides for the removal and disposition of abandoned shopping carts. Sponsored by: Councilmen Michael J. McDonald and Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Undetermined*

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill is intended to address the problem of abandoned shopping carts more comprehensively than in the past. Cart removal by patrons will continue to be prohibited, but, in addition, the responsibility for cart retrieval will be placed on the stores that provide shopping carts. The bill will allow stores to retrieve their own carts, either upon notice or in connection with an ongoing retrieval program, but will also give the City the option of retrieving abandoned carts and charging fees and penalties relating to removal and storage. The City has sought input from the affected parties and prepared a Business Impact Statement based upon an earlier version prepared for Bill No. 2001-5.

*Potential annual costs to the City will depend on compliance, with costs to be partially offset by fees and penalties.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-129
2. Business Impact Statement

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 77 – Bill No. 2001-129

MINUTES - Continued:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was substituted for COUNCILMAN MACK.

12/17/2001 Recommending Committee

12/19/2001 Council Agenda

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-173

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 10:42 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 87 [DB-0016-01] – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

At the request of COUNCILMAN McDONALD, the motion was changed from Withdrawn to Strike.

For the record, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was excused from the entire meeting and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD would be excused temporarily.

There was no further discussion

(1:00 – 1:01)

3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1469 - 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 - Capital Projects Fund -Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Hearing only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Public Hearing Notice

MOTION:

Public Hearing held.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DICK GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, advised that the property improvements are in place with 100 percent of the cost being assessed to a single property owner. When questioned by AL GALLEG0 as to the reason why only one side of the street was done, MR. GOECKE advised that this project came about as the result of the property owner's request to the City Council. When the City Council stipulated that the owner was required to put in half-street improvements, the property owner requested special consideration by way of the Special Improvement District allowing him to obtain financing over a ten year period.

The item is in order.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001

Public Works Department

Item 79 – Special Improvement District No. 1469 - 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:01 – 1:03)

3-36

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 4621 Montebello Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: DAVID F. FARMER AND NANCY A. FARMER - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,620.25☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing the garage door and the side door to the garage, and removing all high vegetation from all yards. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,620.25 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 80 – 4621 Montebello Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

Showing a video of the property, DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, explained that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, Weaver Construction, to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing the garage and side doors, and removing all high vegetation from the yard. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,620.25 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:03 – 1:04)

3-94

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 920 East Bonanza Road. PROPERTY OWNER: LAUNDRYSHOP STORE #1 INS - JWM INVESTMENTS INC. - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$1,881.40**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all trash, debris, bottles, paper, furniture and all high/dry vegetation, etc., from this parcel. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,881.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 81 – 920 East Bonanza Road

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video tape illustrating the condition of the property. Determining the property to be a public hazard and an attractive nuisance, the Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, K.O. Construction, to abate the problem. The property was corrected by removing all the trash, debris, furniture, bottles, and high and dry vegetation. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,881.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

AL GALLEG0, Las Vegas citizen, expressed that it was his understanding that notification of public hearings was to be done within 45 days. He noticed in some cases that notification has taken over a year, resulting in a number of houses being boarded up.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that based on the backup information, it seems that the process time for these abatements appear to be in order.

JOE RYCHIC expressed his appreciation to the City for cleaning up many of these eyesore boarded up homes.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:04– 1:08)

3-135

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building/demolition located at 1425 Hassell Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: TRUSTEE CLARK COUNTY TREASURER % JESSIE & JOE SOTO - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$7,688.90☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by demolishing and removing the structure(s), removing the abandoned vehicles, cleaning the property of trash and debris, and installing "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$7,688.90 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 82 – 1425 Hassell Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, showed a video tape of the condition of the property. Determining the property to be a public hazard and an attractive nuisance, the Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, Weaver Construction, to abate the problem. The property was corrected by demolition and removing all the abandoned vehicles and cleaning the property. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$7,688.90 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned MR. SEMENZA about how payment is made when companies abate these properties. MR. SEMENZA clarified that the City has a special account set up to pay the contractors and then is reimbursed. He estimated that roughly \$170,000 to \$200,000 is spent on abatements and approximately 50 percent is recovered. MAYOR GOODMAN asked that the City look into suggesting to the contractors that they might wait for payment until the City receives reimbursement. CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE stated that this might not be a feasible idea. MR. SEMENZA affirmed that a lot of the reimbursements are received without coming before City Council and approximately half of the liens are received within the same year. As for the amount of total collection, he deferred to the Finance Department. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE responded to MAYOR GOODMAN that it is possible to foreclose on these properties if no monies are received. MR. SEMENZA stated that Clark County handles the foreclosures. Upon the sale of the properties, they pay the City's liens.

MAYOR GOODMAN alluded to the recent budget retreat which focused on the budget restraints. MR. SEMENZA stated that in most circumstances, the property owners usually owe back taxes to the County, therefore the County takes those properties. He also clarified that the City's liens are second to the County's back taxes.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:08 – 1:13)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

83 **Z-0063-01(1)** - Concordia Homes of Nevada

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

84 **VAC-0032-01** - Trinity United Methodist Church

85 **VAC-0033-01** - Warmington Homes Nevada

86 **VAC-0038-01** - City of Las Vegas

APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION

87 **DB-0016-01** - Catalyst Real Estate Services

REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

88 **U-0182-00(1)** - Craig Marketplace on behalf of THG, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

89 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0133-01** - Mark Mintz

90 **U-0126-01** - Whispering Sands, Limited Liability Company

91 **U-0132-01** - Pokroy N&E 1993 Living Trust, et al on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

92 **U-0138-01** - Centennial Center, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Jolyn Fuhrman

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

93 **Z-0033-01** - Pardee Construction Company

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0033-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

94 **V-0068-01** - Pardee Construction Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0033-01 AND V-0068-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

95 **Z-0033-01(1) AND Z-0034-01(1)** - Pardee Construction Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of December 5, 2001

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 96 **Z-0067-01** - Jae and Shin Wee
- 97 **Z-0068-01** - Brian and Julie Lee, and Gary Licker
- 98 **Z-0073-01** - KB Home Nevada, Inc.

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0073-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 99 **V-0070-01** - KB Home Nevada, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0073-01 AND V-0070-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 100 **Z-0073-01(1)** - KB Home Nevada, Inc.

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 101 **Z-0076-01** - J. Coblenz 1994 Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0076-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 102 **Z-0076-01(1)** - J. Coblenz 1994 Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 103 **GPA-0036-01** - Apache 2000, Limited Partnership on behalf of Astoria Homes
- 104 **GPA-0037-01** - City of Las Vegas

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0037-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 105 **Z-0069-01** - City of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0037-01 AND Z-0069-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 106 **Z-0069-01(1)** - City of Las Vegas

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 107 **GPA-0039-01** - Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company
- 108 **GPA-0040-01** - El Capitan-Ackerman, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0040-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 109 **Z-0071-01** - El Capitan-Ackerman, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of December 5, 2001

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0040-01 AND Z-0071-01
- PUBLIC HEARING

- 110 Z-0071-01(1)** - El Capitan-Ackerman, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 111 GPA-0041-01** - Matonovich Family Trust on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0041-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 112 Z-0072-01** - Matonovich Family Trust on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0063-01(1)** -
CONCORDIA HOMES OF NEVADA - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Waiver of Street Requirements FOR A PROPOSED 78-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 10.03 Acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of the Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road alignments (APN: 125-20-201-013 and 014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: TC (Town Center)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 4 as follows:

4. The setbacks for this development shall be (*measured to private lot property line*):

Front (to House)	5 Feet
Front (to Garage) Maximum	5 Feet
Side & Corner Side	5 Feet
Rear	14 Feet

– UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 83 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0063-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

DAVE EDWARDS, VTN Nevada, appeared on behalf of Concordia Homes of Nevada. This item was contingent upon the results of a morning item dealing with Town Center standards. MR. EDWARDS concurred with staff's recommendations with the exception of Condition No. 4 relating to the setbacks.

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:13 – 1:15)

3-404

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0063-01) to T-C (Town Center).
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The applicant shall meet with the City Fire Department on the length of the private drives.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be:

Front (to House)	5	Feet
Front (to Garage) Maximum	5	Feet
Side	5	Feet
Corner Side	10	Feet
Rear	14	Feet
5. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 83 – Z-0063-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. This site plan shall be designed to comply with the Town Center Residential Public Street Standards which require a minimum of 5 foot sidewalks on both sides of the street. The Tentative Map for this site shall reflect compliance with such requirements.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
13. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-63-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0032-01 - TRINITY UNITED METHODIST CHURCH** - Petition to vacate an excess portion of Jones Boulevard generally located west of Jones Boulevard, north of Transverse Drive, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ELY ADES, 3661 Seneca Circle, representing Trinity United Methodist Church, accepted staff's recommendations and conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:15 – 1:16)

3-465

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 84 – VAC-0032-01

CONDITIONS:

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (Planning and Development Department)
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (Planning and Development Department)
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (Public Works Department)
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works Department)
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development Department)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0033-01 - WARMINGTON HOMES

NEVADA - Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located south of Alexander Road, west of Hualapai Way, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was represented by BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, who concurred with all conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:16)

3-504

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 85 – VAC-0033-01

CONDITIONS:

1. This Vacation Application shall be revised to exclude the 25-foot drainage right-of-way along the north side of Gilmore Avenue. (Public Works Department)
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (Planning and Development Department)
3. Development of this site shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Lone Mountain Condominiums Tentative Map, Zoning Reclassification (Z-0033-97) and all other subsequent site-related actions. (Public Works Department)
4. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment. (Public Works Department)
5. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works Department)
6. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development Department)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0038-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Request for a Petition of Vacation to vacate a walkway and emergency roadway generally located east of Horn Street, between Hanford Avenue and Bonanza Road, APN: 139-30-410-029, Ward 5 (Weekly) (previously incorrectly identified as Ward 1 - M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition Nos. 3 and 5 as follows:

3. Provide a plan prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site showing how the area to be vacated will be integrated into the adjacent properties, and provide proof that *all* abutting property owners are in agreement with this plan.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until the above condition has been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 86 – VAC-0038-01

MOTION – Continued:

all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, representing the City, gave a brief overview stating that the original vacation request was for an emergency roadway and pedestrian easement requested by the residents. She publicly announced that the adjacent residents, if they were viewing this, must present to the City a plan illustrating the area to be incorporated prior to the City's approval to allow that land to be vacated.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE asked that the ward designation be corrected to reflect Ward 5, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY.

(1:22 – 1:23)

4-620

CONDITIONS:

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required.
3. Provide a plan prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site showing how the area to be vacated will be integrated into the adjacent properties, and provide proof that both abutting property owners are in agreement with this plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 86 – VAC-0038-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until the above condition has been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION - DB-0016-01 - CATALYST REAL ESTATE SERVICES - An appeal of the Planning and Development Department Director's Interpretation to not accept an application for a Special Use Permit for a Social Services Provider at 1807 West Charleston Boulevard, located within the Ward 1 application moratorium area enacted by the City Council on July 5, 2001, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends **DENIAL**

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends **DENIAL**.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Conditions For This Application
2. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item 87 [DB-0016-01] – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

At the request of COUNCILMAN McDONALD, the motion was changed from Withdrawn to Strike.

There was no further discussion

(1:00 – 1:01)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0182-00(1) - CRAIG MARKETPLACE ON BEHALF OF THG, INC.** - Request for a Review of an Approved Special Use Permit and a Site Development Plan Review TO ALLOW A 7,004 sq. ft. TAVERN AND RESTAURANT located at 7081 West Craig Road, C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- *Prior to approval of any business license for the subject ‘pad’ site, the commercial center master developer shall have an approved annexation into the City of parcel APN: 138-03-701-012; and*
- *Prior to the approval of any business license for the subject ‘pad’ site, the applicant shall obtain an off-site parking agreement in compliance with the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Title 19A.10.010(H), demonstrating to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Director that the minimum off-site parking spaces required by Title 19A.10.010 will be available for the subject site tavern development and for the restaurant ‘pad’ adjacent to the north; and*
- *The adjacent property, while still in the County, shall be developed to City standards.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with MACK abstaining because his brother-in-law, who is the applicant, owns Timber’s Hospitality, and M. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 88 – U-0182-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SEAN ANDERSON, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, asked for this item to be trailed inasmuch as ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, representing the applicant, was in transit from another meeting.

ATTORNEY GRONAUER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant and thanked the City Council for their courtesy in trailing this item. Using the overhead, he pointed out the proposed project explaining the applicant's intent to expand the floor plan of the existing tavern and restaurant to include additional office space, additional banquet facility, enlarging the kitchen area and expanding the restaurant area. In regard to staff's concerns relating to parking requirements, ATTORNEY GRONAUER was certain that there are adequate accommodations for parking. Although the anticipated parking area is on County property, the applicant has agreed to improve the area where an additional 33 spaces is proposed.

COUNCILMAN BROWN, addressing staff, stated his understanding that the parking was an issue because it is on County property; however, if the condition is noted, the applicant would develop that County piece and be responsible for complying with parking codes and landscaping requirements as part of the Timber site. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, replied affirmatively. MR. GLORE explained that the annexation process has not taken place. Consequently, the annexation would need to be completed before a business license could be issued to Timbers in order to begin construction.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if it would be possible in the interim to have a joint agreement between the City and the County to prevent any holdup while the annexation is being processed. MR. GLORE stated that until the parcel is actually City-owned, the City would have no jurisdiction over the review of improvements on the County-owned parcel. In other words, any improvements, landscaping, or paving would require County approval.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that the Code does not have a provision that states you cannot have a shared parking agreement between City and County parcels as long as the parcels in close proximity are at least 300 feet from the use. Therefore, that next-door property could be utilized for parking.

COUNCILMAN BROWN proposed that a condition be added to state that the annexation move forward. MR. GLORE stated approval of the annexation could possibly occur within two months.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 88 – U-0182-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, recommended that the applicant agree that the adjacent property, if developed while still in the County, be developed to City standards. ATTORNEY GRONAUER agreed, stating that the applicant's only intent is for parking.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:18 – 1:20/1:52 – 2:01)

3-599/3-1837

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Submittal of a revised site plan indicating all required parking spaces located within the subject parcel, to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department staff, or approval by the City Council of a Variance to reduce the parking requirement, prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
7. Submittal of a revised site plan indicating a twenty-foot rear yard setback, to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department staff, or approval by the City Council of a Variance to reduce the rear yard setback prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 88 – U-0182-00(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Submittal of a revised landscape plan depicting an eight foot wide landscape planter along the south property line with 24-inch box tree placed 30-feet on center to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department, unless a Variance for the rear yard setback is approved prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
9. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

Public Works

11. In accordance with the intent of a commercial subdivision, this pad site shall have perpetual common access to all driveways connecting the overall site to the abutting public streets.
12. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0071-99, the Craig and Tenaya (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0133-01** - **MARK MINTZ** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB (On Fremont) at 2100 Fremont Street (APN: 139-35-803-015), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese) (previously incorrectly identified as Ward 5 -Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Photographs of Proposed Supper Club Interior

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition Nos. 5 and 6 and adding the following conditions.

- *Prior to the approval of any business license for the subject Supper Club, the applicant shall have a floor plan approved by the Planning and Development Department Director, demonstrating full compliance with applicable provisions of Title 19A.04.050 and 19A.20.*
- *Required one-year review.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD, L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LARRY ROSALES and ROBERT KAISER, 2100 Fremont Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – U-0133-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed his concern that the initial request was for a tavern. MR. ROSALES submitted photographs of the interior of the proposed supper club. COUNCILMAN REESE asked the applicants to acknowledge that they were aware of the differentiation between supper club and tavern and that any and all amenities built into this building would remain. Both MR. ROSALES and MR. KAISER concurred.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, referenced Condition Nos. 5 and 6, requiring the applicants to remove and replace substandard street improvements and the existing chain linked-driveways. MS. EDELMAN stated that it would be at the Council's discretion whether to require those conditions or to eliminate them, although NDOT (Nevada Department of Transportation) may require all driveways meet their standards. COUNCILMAN REESE asked the applicants to work with the Public Works Department.

MAYOR GOODMAN congratulated the applicants on their acquisition of this property and their plans to develop a supper club. He also stated that the City's success depends on their success.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:20 – 1:25)

3-635

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 89 – U-0133-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

5. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
6. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a, and shall also comply with Nevada Department of Transportation requirements.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. Obtain an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Charleston Boulevard and Fremont Street public rights-of-way adjacent to this site as required by the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0126-01 - WHISPERING SANDS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR GUEST HOUSES/CASITAS on 8.58 acres generally located south of Whispering Sands Drive and east of Leon Avenue (APN: 125-13-214-001 through 022), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DEWEY JONES, 4815 Russell road, concurred with all conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK briefly explained that most Casitas have a maximum square footage of 400 square feet but this application is only 301 square feet.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 90 – U-0126-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(1:25 – 1:26)

3-810

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all minimum requirements under Title 19A.04.040 for guest house/casita, with the exception of the minimum lot width of eighty feet.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0047-99), the Whispering Sands subdivision, and all other subsequent site related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and Public Works Department.
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. The guest houses/casitas shall be situated such as to not create sight visibility problems for vehicular traffic accessing the adjacent streets.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0132-01 - POKROY N&E 1993****LIVING TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING -**

Request for a Special Use Permit FOR ONE (1) 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF PREMISE

ADVERTISING SIGN (BILLBOARD) at 2395 N. Rancho Drive (APN: 139-19-102-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DEBBIE BERONI of Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the owners. She presented a site plan indicating the location of the billboard sign on Rancho Drive and Smoke Ranch Road. MS. BERONI mentioned that the City Council had requested a sign that was aesthetically pleasing and so she presented a photograph that was designed to match the overall look of the property owner's building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 91 – U-0132-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:26 – 1:29)

3-846

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be redesigned to depict support structure finish in stucco painted to match or complement the colors of the existing convenience store on the site. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.
2. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
3. If the existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising (billboard) structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.
5. The applicant must file Form 7460-1 with the Federal Aviation Administration and must obtain either a permit from the Clark County Director of Aviation or a Variance from the Airport Hazard Areas Board of Adjustment prior to construction of any of the proposed off-premise advertising (billboard) sign structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 91 – U-0132-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

6. The final location of the proposed billboard shall meet the approval of the Traffic Engineering Division.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0138-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JOLYN FUHRIMAN - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED OUTDOOR SALES LOT FOR A FARMERS MARKET on property located adjacent to the southwest corner of Centennial Center Boulevard and Tropical Parkway (APN's: 125-28-713-003 & 005), T-C (Town Center) Zone [SC-TC (Service Commercial - Town Center) Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a significant business relationship with the owner of the adjacent parcel; however, he felt that this application would have no impact on that parcel and it would not affect his ability to vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOLYN FUHRIMAN, the applicant, was present to answer any questions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 92 – U-0138-01

MINUTES – Continued:

STEPHEN RILEY, 7000 Steeple Court, wished the applicant good luck and stated that she has the support of the residents of Elkhorn Springs. He asked if she had an opportunity to read the Town Center Manual and MS. FUHRIMAN replied affirmatively.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:29 – 1:33)

3-942

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The applicant shall create a pedestrian plaza area adjacent to the vendors by reconfiguring the parking area in such a way as to direct vehicular traffic away from the pedestrian areas and thereby promoting public safety.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

4. The proposed Farmer's Market shall be located outside the existing driveways and drive aisles internal to this site so as to not impede the flow of either vehicular or pedestrian traffic along the adjacent public rights-of-way.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0033-01 - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION

COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development – 5 Units Per Acre) on approximately 10 Acres on the southwest corner of Farm Road and Teepee Lane (APN'S: 125-18-701-004, 009 through 011), PROPOSED USE: Single Family Residential, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DIANA BOSSARD, 2920 North Green Valley Parkway, represented Pardee Construction Company. She introduced KEN HANISON of GC Wallace, the engineer, and stated that the applicant concurs with all conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MS. BOSSARD for being the nucleus between the homebuilders and himself and staff by working together on the Town Center Standards and the resolutions that were passed.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0033-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [Z-0033-01], Item 94 [V-0068-01], and Item 95 [Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [Z-0033-01].

(1:32 – 1:35)

3-1051

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate appropriate public right-of-way adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final dedication will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans. Half-street dedications of less than 30 feet in width will not be accepted unless the developer provides proof of existing rights for the opposite side of the proposed street.
4. Construct appropriate half-street improvements, including overpaving, adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final half-street construction requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to each site along a logical route concurrent with development of each site.
6. Extend public sewer to the west edge of this site in the Farm Road, Severance Lane and Elkhorn Road alignments to locations acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with development of these parcels. Provide public sewer easements for public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or recordation of any Final Map.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0033-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0033-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0068-01 - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW ZERO SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 29,621 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE IS REQUIRED on property located adjacent to the west side of Tee Pee Lane, between Severance Lane and Farm Road (APN's: 125-18-701-004, 009, 010 & 011), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DIANA BOSSARD, 2920 North Green Valley Parkway and Ken Hanison, the engineer, represented the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that with the variance, it would bring the subdivision into compliance with the Town Center Residential Development Standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 94 – V-0068-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [Z-0033-01], Item 94 [V-0068-01], and Item 95 [Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [Z-0033-01].

(1:32 – 1:35)

3-1051

CONDITIONS:

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0033-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0033-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The applicant shall contribute an “in lieu of” fee in the amount of \$2,750 dollars per gross acre, to offset the open space reduction, to the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0033-01 AND V-0068-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0033-01(1) AND Z-0034-01(1) - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 243-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 50.08 Acres on the south side of Farm Road, west of Fort Apache Road (APN's: 125-18-701-004 and 009 through 014; 125-18-702-001 through 003; 125-18-801-006 and 007), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre)]; and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L-TC (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to T-C (Town Center), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK announced that the applicant has agreed to contribute \$2750 per acre for park development.

CLIFF ANDERS, 7220 Bermuda Road, made a brief appearance and thanked Mayor Goodman and Council for their consideration.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 95 – Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [Z-0033-01], Item 94 [V-0068-01], and Item 95 [Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [Z-0033-01].

(1:32 – 1:35)

3-1051

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve Rezoning (Z-0033-01) of the 10-acre western portion of the subject property to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development – 5 Units per Acre), and shall approve a Variance (V-0068-01) to open space requirements for the same portion of the site.
2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. No perimeter walls shall be allowed along any street that is 79 feet or less in width of street section, with the exception of corner lots.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the face of the garage as measured from the back of sidewalk, 10 feet to side-loaded garages and/or the front of the house, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
5. The Tentative Map shall depict the required 8-foot wide Town Center Arterial Trail along the Farm Road frontage east of Tee Pee Lane, if appropriate, for trail purposes in accordance with Map Six of the Trails Element of the Master Plan.
6. The site plan and landscape plan shall depict compliance with the Site Plan as submitted, including a 37-foot right-of way, and a 4-foot amenity zone and four-foot wide sidewalk on each side. The landscape plan shall also depict any required accent paving.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 95 – Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied

Public Works

12. In accordance with Chapter 18.12.110 of the Subdivision Code, this site plan shall be revised to eliminate the dead-end termination of any interior residential streets which extend west of Tee Pee Lane, outside of the Town Center Residential Area. The tentative map for this site shall reflect such revisions.
13. Submit a Petition of Vacation for Chieftain Street south of Via Provenza Avenue and portions of Via Provenza Avenue west of Chieftain Street. Such vacation shall be recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits overlying the area to be vacated.
14. Dedicate, obtain dedication, or obtain roadway easement rights for the north half of Via Provenza Avenue, the south half of Solar Avenue and the east half of Chieftain Street adjacent to this site prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. Construct appropriate half-street improvements on Via Provenza, Solar Avenue and Chieftain Street, including sufficient paving over the centerlines to provide two-way traffic access concurrent with development of this site. If such dedication or easement rights cannot be procured for any reason, this site plan must be revised to eliminate the proposed half-streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 95 – Z-0033-01(1) and Z-0034-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Farm Road, 80 feet and 40 feet where appropriate for Tee Pee Lane, 80 feet and 40 feet where appropriate for Severance Lane, a 25 foot radius at the southeast and southwest corners of Farm Road and Tee Pee Lane, a 25 foot radius at the northeast corner of Tee Pee Lane and Solar Avenue and appropriate radii for the entire proposed roundabout.
16. Construct full-width street improvements where appropriate on Tee Pee Lane, Severance Lane and the proposed Roundabout; also, construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Farm Road, Tee Pee Lane and Severance Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Four-foot sidewalks and four-foot amenity zones shall be allowed on all interior public streets, provided that all street furniture is placed outside the sidewalk area. Five-foot sidewalks and five-foot amenity zones shall be allowed on all Town Center Residential Collector Streets.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
18. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
19. Site development to comply with the Town Center Development Standards, all applicable conditions of approval for Z-33-01, Z-34-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
20. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.
21. The applicant shall contribute an “in lieu of” fee in the amount of \$2,750 dollars per gross acre, for the acreage outside of Town Center, to the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0067-01 - JAE AND SHIN WEE** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) TO: R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone on 0.16 acres at 1217 San Pedro Street (APN: 162-02-410-086), PROPOSED USE: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN REESE explained that the applicants originally requested a zone change to P-R, but were unable to carry out their plans to convert to an office structure and opted to return to multi-family residential. By a letter addressed to the Planning Department, the applicant explained his inability to attend due to his obligation overseas, but asked for consideration and committed to complying with all conditions as recommended by staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 96 – Z-0067-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(1:35 – 1:39)
3-1187

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

Public Works

2. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards at the time of any new development or redevelopment of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0068-01 – BRIAN AND JULIE LEE, AND GARY LICKER** - Request for Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) Zone on approximately 5.15 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Cliff Shadows Parkway and Alexander Road (APN: 137-12-101-003), PROPOSED USE: COMMERCIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GARY LICKER, appeared on behalf of the applicants and concurred with staff's recommendations. He thanked the Planning and Development Department staff for their assistance.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked MR. LICKER to acknowledge that the proposed use for commercial falls under the Lone Mountain Master Plan under Neighborhood Commercial. MR. LICKER responded affirmatively.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0068-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:37 – 1:38)

3-1241

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet or 50 feet of right-of-way, as appropriate, adjacent to this site for Cliff Shadows Parkway, 40 feet for Alexander Road and a 25-foot radius on the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Alexander Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer to the northwest corner of this development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0068-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
7. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0073-01 - KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) on 5.31 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-09-501-001 & 002), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, representing the applicant, concurred with staff's recommendations.

TONY BRUGES, 4009 Poppy Field Drive, welcomed KB Homes to the community and stated that the neighborhood supports this proposed project and any future plans.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0073-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 99 [V-0070-01], and Item 100 [Z-0073-01(1)] for related discussion.

(1:38 – 1:44)

3-1287

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road, 40 feet for Cimarron Road, and a 54-foot radius on the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road.
4. Construct half-street improvements, including appropriate overpaving on Cimarron Road and Alexander Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0073-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0073-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0070-01 - KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 10,642 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE A MINIMUM OF 19,083 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE IS REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED 27 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 5.31 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-09-501-001 & 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [Low Density Residential General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, L. B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – V-0070-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 98 [Z-0073-01], and Item 100 [Z-0073-01(1)] for related discussion.

(1:38 – 1:44)

3-1287

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0073-01), and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0073-01(1)], and any other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0073-01 AND V-0070-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0073-01(1) - KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 27 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION AND A WAIVER TO THE MINIMUM PUBLIC STREET WIDTH REQUIREMENTS on 5.31 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-09-501-001 & 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and the added condition as follows::

- *The five deviations from standards incorporated into this site plan as presented shall be allowed.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0073-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, clarified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that there are five deviations from the normal standards. Subsequent to the meeting, MS. EDELMAN recommended that a condition be added to reflect the deviations which are enumerated as follows: (1) 37-foot wide public streets from back of curb to back of curb. (2) 4-foot wide public sidewalks on one side of the street outside of the right of way. (3) 26-foot back of curb radii cul-de-sacs. (4) 17-foot minimum driveway depths. (5) No knuckles on interior street corners. Staff acknowledged working with the applicant and confirmed that the deviations were reflected in the site plan. MS. EDELMAN agreed that there are hardships associated with this parcel because of the proximity of the Gowan Channel and the multi-use trail. COUNCILMAN BROWN agreed that this piece of land is unique and although there is an open flood channel and the designated trail along Alexander, he is assured that no precedent is being set. He also agreed that the deviations are appropriate.

There was no further discussion..

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: At 1:44 p.m. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD returned to the dais to participate in the meeting.

NOTE: See Item 98 [Z-0073-01], and Item 99 [V-0070-01] for related discussion.

(1:38 – 1:44)

3-1287

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0073-01) to an R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) Zoning District.
2. Approval of Variance (V-0070-01) for a deviation from the open space requirement.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0073-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 5 feet on the corner side, and 14 feet in the rear.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect compliance with the City of Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards (minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters).
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. Submit a Petition of Vacation for portions of the Gowan North Channel drainage easement. Such vacation shall be recorded prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying the area to be vacated.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
14. The design and layout of all onsite circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-73-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0076-01 - J. COBLENTZ 1994 TRUST ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per Acre) on 63.28 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Craig Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-03-303-001 and 138-03-402-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="8"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, represented the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if the applicant received a copy of the conditions that stated that in addition to controlling the 60-acre property, that the 20-acre parcel to the north would be developed as residential extending to Craig Road. MR. SKUSE acknowledged affirmatively.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0076-01

MINUTES – Continued:

On the same matter, COUNCILMAN BROWN inquired if there was any assurance for the City that the applicant would work on the Tenaya improvements to include the last stretch between the north piece and the intersection and do so in a timely fashion. MS. EDELMAN responded that a covenant running with the land would be required to guarantee that the City would be able to call upon those improvements at any time.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN addressing MS. EDELMAN, mentioned that with the budget coming, he would like for her to look at a time frame and set aside funds.

NOTE: See Item 102 [Z-0076-01(1)] for related discussion.

(1:43 – 1:47)

3-1456

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Submit a parcel Map to establish property boundaries as proposed. Such Parcel Map shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
4. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Pioneer Way, 40 feet for Tenaya Way, 50 feet for Alexander Road, a 25-foot radius on the northeast corner of Pioneer Way and Alexander Road and a 54-foot radius on the northwest corner of Tenaya Way and Alexander Road prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0076-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Construct half-street improvements on Tenaya Way, Alexander Road and Pioneer Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site, on Craig Road, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to provide public stubs to the western edge of this development along Pioneer Way to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0076-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0076-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0076-01(1) - J. COBLENTZ 1994 TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 374-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 63.28 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Craig Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-03-303-001 and 138-03-402-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) and R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 4 as follows:

4. The site plan shall depict a minimum *7-foot* wide landscape planter, measured from the back of sidewalk, along the length of the corner side on all corner lots within the 230-lot ‘typical lot’ subdivision, comprising Site Development Plan Review Lots 1, 17, 18, 44, 45, 68, 69, 93, 119, 120, 129, 130, 139, 147, 148, 161, 162, 174, 185, 186, 196, 197, 106 and 207.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0076-01(1)

MOTION – Continued:

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, recommended clarification of Condition No. 4

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 101[Z-0076-01] for related discussion.

(1:43 – 1:47)

3-1456

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0076-01) to an R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) and R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units per Acre) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall depict a minimum 6-foot wide landscape planter, measured from the back of sidewalk, along the length of the corner side on all corner lots within the 228-lot 'typical lot' subdivision, comprising Site Development Plan Review Lots 1, 17, 18, 44, 45, 68, 69, 94, 118, 119, 128, 129, 138, 146, 147, 160, 161, 173, 184, 185, 195, 196, 205 and 206. The landscape planter shall be fully outside of walls. Landscaping within the planter shall be installed by the developer, and maintained in perpetuity by the property owner.
5. The site plan shall depict a gated pedestrian access to/from the cluster lot portion at the drainage easement adjacent to Site Development Plan Review Lot 95.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0076-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. One Hundred percent of open space/common space shall be installed at the time 75% of the houses are built.
7. Construct the multi-use trail located on the east side of Pioneer Way to City standards, which includes a ten-foot wide PCC (Portland cement concrete) path with five-foot wide landscape planters on each side of the trail. The trail shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association or other maintenance organization acceptable to the City.
8. Provide handicap accessible parking in the cluster lot portion to meet the requirements of Section 19A.10.010.
9. The setbacks for this development shall be as follows: Cluster lots - Zero feet to the front of the garage, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 1.5 feet in the rear (if a wall/enclosure is provided a 3 feet minimum setback required). Typical lots – minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
10. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect compliance with the City of Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards (minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters).
11. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
13. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0076-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout, including possible relocation or median modifications to address offset concerns for the northern most private street, prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
18. A Homeowner's Association shall be established for the cluster-home portion of this site plan to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
19. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
20. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map. Final right-of-way requirements will be determined at that time.
21. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-76-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0036-01 - APACHE 2000, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF ASTORIA HOMES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: SC-TC (Service Commercial - Town Center) TO: M-TC (Medium Density Residential - Town Center) on approximately 41.27 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Farm Road (APN: 125-17-301-001), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. The Concord Group Study for Astoria Homes

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED and adding the following condition:

- *The applicant or its representatives shall ensure that all potential customers are advised of what the Town Center Standards are and what to expect in terms of development in the immediate vicinity.*

- UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SEAN ANDERSON, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, requested this item be trailed inasmuch as ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO was in route from a previous commitment.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0036-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, thanked the Council for the courtesy of trailing this item. He explained that when this application was presented to the Planning Commission, staff had several concerns and although there was much debate, the Planning Commission did recommend approval. Distributing copies of a commissioned study, ATTORNEY FIORENTINO explained that the purpose of the study was to determine what the impact would be if this piece of commercial property located within Town Center was converted to single-family residential. The report concluded that converting this property from commercial to residential would not be detrimental to the Town Center concept but instead would support it by generating the necessary rooftops needed.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, stated that a meeting held in October, attended by 45 residents, resulted in an overall consensus not to support this venture. She opposed the conversion from commercial to residential believing it is premature and feared that more requests would be forthcoming. Regarding the open space element, MS. RUSKAMP stated that in past experiences working with this developer in the northwest, she noted their repeated requests for variances of the open space requirements. She expressed that open space is essential to all communities and if you don't provide a sufficient area, it will not be used. She spoke of the lack of support from the City Council and the County Commission relative to maintaining the Town Center Standards as originally proposed.

JIM VELTMAN, Veltman Planning and Design, expressed his support of this project contending that the density around Town Center is too low. He mentioned that all of the successful commercial is on the east side of Highway 95, while this project is across the road. He maintained that approval of this project would bring more rooftops to the Town Center area because more rooftops are needed on the west side.

STEPHEN RILEY, 7000 Steeple Court, voiced his opposition to this development stating that he did not agree with the study and concurred with the staff's recommendation for denial. COUNCILMAN BROWN, addressing Mr. Riley, stated that he was surprised with his comments because it is the Council's desire to encourage high density residential.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified that within the area designated as Town Center, it was determined that a specified number of acres delegated commercial would be available to serve Centennial Hills. Staff's concerns relate to the removal of 40 acres designated as commercial because eventually, there will be a need for an additional 40 acres and if that additional acreage does not come within Town Center, it would be going against the concept established limiting commercial in Town Center.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0036-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

There was additional discussion on density with MR. RILEY stating that he is not opposed to residential but firmly believes that at the present time this area should remain commercial if only to alleviate the problem of finding new service commercial six years down the road. COUNCILMAN BROWN interjected that unless the high density residential is put in place, the commercial will hold back. MR. GENZER emphasized that the big issue is that the applicant is going from service commercial Town Center to a medium density residential, completely eliminating the commercial aspect. If the request were for a mixed-use Town Center designation that would allow for retail and medium to high density residential, staff would be supportive.

COUNCILMAN BROWN agreed with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD that commercial follows residential.

COUNCILMAN MACK mentioned that in Summerlin, the Howard Hughes Corporation completely developed the residential to support the commercial aspect; however, today, commercial developers are not as willing to develop without the assurance of high-density products. The project being proposed is surrounded on three sides by service commercial. COUNCILMAN MACK conveyed that he supports this project but holds the developer responsible for providing proper buffering for the adjacent communities as well as presenting a site plan to reflect all of the requirements for open space.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked that an additional stipulation be added as a condition to ensure that a disclosure be made to all potential buyers detailing what Town Center is all about to eliminate any future ramifications that they were unaware when they bought into Town Center. MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that an ordinance is currently being reviewed that addresses those very concerns.

In his closing statements, COUNCILMAN BROWN disagreed with some of the comments made during the public hearing implying that the City Council continually allows changes to the Town Center Standards. He firmly expressed that several of the commercial projects that were approved were not decisions made by the present Council and he further took offense to statements made by people who stated they were unaware.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(1:47 – 1:48/2:06 – 2:33)
3-1616/3-2440

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0037-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: MLA-TC (Medium-Low Attached) TO: PF-TC (Public Facility) adjacent to the northwest corner of the northern Beltway alignment and Fort Apache Road, (APN: 125-19-701-007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works, explained that the purpose for the GPA, zoning request and site development plan is to build a detention basin and City park. Using the overhead, MR. ROARK pointed out that the subject acreage is not shown on the master plan because the beltway is urgently needed and the Flood Control Division needed to bring in funds to build the detention basin. Indicating the green space on the overhead, MR. ROARK stated that funds are anticipated in the future and this portion will be incorporated in the master plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – GPA-0037-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 104 [GPA-0037-01], Item 105 [Z-0069-01], and Item 106 [Z-0069-01(1)] was held under Item 104 [GPA-0037-01].

(1:49 – 1:51)

3-1647

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0037-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0069-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [MLA-TC Medium-Low Attached) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) Zone, on approximately 10 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of the northern beltway alignment and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-19-701-007), PROPOSED USE: Detention Basin and Park, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 5 as follows:

5. Construct half-street improvements on Fort Apache Road, Bath Drive, and Chieftain Street *concurrent with future development* adjacent to the site; construction of Fort Apache road, Bath Drive, and Chieftain Street shall comply with all applicable Town Center Standards.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0069-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 104 [GPA-0037-01], Item 105 [Z-0069-01], and Item 106 [Z-0069-01(1)] was held under Item 104 [GPA-0037-01].

(1:49 – 1:51)

3-1647

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0037-01) to a PF-TC (Public Facility) land use designation.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road and appropriate right-of-way as needed for a future bridge embankment crossing the beltway. Also, dedicate 40 feet for Chieftain Street, 40 feet for Bath Drive, a 25-foot radius on the southwest corner of Bath Drive and a 25-foot radius on the southeast corner of Bath Drive and Chieftain Street prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Clark County Department of Public Works to determine what additional rights-of-way, if any, may be needed for possible bridge embankments for the overpass of Fort Apache Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0069-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Fort Apache Road, Bath Drive and Chieftain Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Construction of Fort Apache Road, Bath Drive and Chieftain Street shall comply with all applicable Town Center Standards.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other acceptable information, must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0037-01 AND Z-0069-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0069-01(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for Site Development Plan Review for a Detention Basin and City Park on approximately 10 acres at the northwest corner of the northern beltway alignment and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-19-701-007), U (Undeveloped) Zone [MLA (Medium Low Attached) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that a Tot Lot, picnic area and trail are planned for this portion of the site.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – Z-0069-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 104 [GPA-0037-01], Item 105 [Z-0069-01], and Item 106 [Z-0069-01(1)] was held under Item 104 [GPA-0037-01].

(1:49 – 1:51)

3-1647

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0037-01) to PF-TC (Public Facilities) and a Rezoning (Z-0069-01) to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Provide an enclosure method to encompass the entire detention basin.
5. Provide a twenty foot wide multi-use (non-equestrian) trail along the west side of Fort Apache Road to be located at least five feet from the street curb which includes a ten foot wide PCC (Portland cement concrete) path with five foot wide landscape planters on each side of the trail. Provide a connection to Fort Apache Road to allow for the trail to extend east across Fort Apache Road. The trail shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site.
6. The lighting of the basketball courts, and any other portion of the park area, and shall not extend past 10:00 PM. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – Z-0069-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
13. Site development to comply with all conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0069-01.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0039-01 - SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on 3.22 acres adjacent to the west side of Fort Apache Road, approximately 950 feet south of Horse Drive (APN: 125-08-310-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:51 – 1:52)

3-1793

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0040-01 - EL CAPITAN-ACKERMAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan TO REALIGN A MULTI-USE TRAIL DESIGNATION generally located adjacent to the southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Ackerman Avenue (APN: 125-08-805-001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because the applicants have a partnership which he has an interest in and M. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that there are three items associated with this application and the applicant has worked diligently with staff to work out issues and meet the required conditions. In his closing remarks, ATTORNEY AMICK requested that in Condition No. 6 of the zoning request, the reference to Grand Teton should be deleted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – GPA-0040-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, stated that she met with the developer and representatives from Pine Meadows Community. All parties were pleased with the RPD-7 designation and support the moving of the multi-use trail.

COUNCILMAN MACK affirmed that the trail structure will now be in alignment with the segment west of El Capitan.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 108 [GPA-0040-01], Item 109 [Z-0071-01], and Item 110 [Z-0071-01(1)] was held under Item 108 [GPA-0040-01].

(2:01 – 2:06)

3-2215

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0040-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0071-01 - EL CAPITAN-ACKERMAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation)] TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) on 20.67 acres located adjacent to the southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Ackerman Avenue (APN: 125-08-805-001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 007), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 6 by deleting the words “*in Grand Teton Drive*”. – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining because the applicants have a partnership which he has an interest in and **M. McDONALD** and **WEEKLY** excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0071-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 108 [GPA-0040-01], Item 109 [Z-0071-01], and Item 110 [Z-0071-01(1)] was held under Item 108 [GPA-0040-01].

(2:01 – 2:06)

3-2215

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Rezoning shall be amended to an R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development– 7 Units Per Acre) Zone.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Grand Teton Drive. Alternatively, the applicant may grant a 10-foot “Public Use Roadway Corridor Easement” adjacent to such right-of-way for a total public half-street corridor width of 60 feet. Such public use easement shall be granted and available for any public need in conjunction with but not limited to traffic, drainage and storm sewers, streetlighting, fire hydrants, walkways, and sanitary sewer purposes. All required corner radii and chord easements shall be reserved behind and adjacent to such roadway corridor easement as if the easement area were dedicated right-of-way.
5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on El Capitan Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Grand Teton Drive and Ackerman Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0071-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Grand Teton Drive to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1, for dedicated right-turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0071-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0040-01 AND Z-0071-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0071-01(1) - EL CAPITAN-ACKERMAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 156-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 20.67 acres located adjacent to the southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Ackerman Avenue (APN: 125-08-805-001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 007), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation)], PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development – 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commisison (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because the applicants have a partnership which he has an interest in and M. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – Z-0071-01(1)

MINUTES – CONTINUED:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 108 [GPA-0040-01], Item 109 [Z-0071-01], and Item 110 [Z-0071-01(1)] was held under Item 108 [GPA-0040-01].

(2:01 – 2:06)

3-2215

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning to an R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) Zoning District, or if a Rezoning to an R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre) is approved, the site plan shall be revised to establish a maximum density of 7.49 Units Per Acre.
2. The developer shall grant a multi-use, non-equestrian trail corridor adjacent to, but outside of, the dedicated right-of-way for Ackerman Avenue, on the south side of the street, for trail purposes in accordance with the Trails element of the Master Plan. Concurrent with development of this site, the developer shall construct Trail improvements within this easement corridor in a manner acceptable to the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works. The Homeowner's Association or similar management association of this development in perpetuity shall maintain landscaping and other improvements within the trail corridor, unless and until the City accepts an alternative maintenance. No above ground utility vaults that would substantially interfere with the use of the trail corridor will be allowed within the easement area.
3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage from the back of sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 5 feet on the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – Z-0071-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

9. Submit a Petition of Vacation for the entire width of Versi Mount Road. Such vacation shall be recorded prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying the area to be vacated.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed roadway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
12. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
13. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – Z-0071-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map. Final right-of-way requirements will be determined at that time.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-71-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0041-01 -**

MATONOVICH FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. -

Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) TO: ML (MEDIUM-LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) on 5.21 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Campbell Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-08-101-005 & 006), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD, and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – GPA-0041-01

MINUTES - CONTINUED:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 111 [GPA-0041-01] and Item 112 [Z-0072-01] was held under Item 112 [Z-0072-01].

(2:32 – 2:43)

4-111

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0041-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0072-01** -
MATONOVICH FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. -
 Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General
 Plan Designation]] TO: RPD-6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units Per Acre) on 5.21
 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Campbell Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-08-101-
 005 & 006), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2
 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL,
 subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition No. 1 –
UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and WEEKLY excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech, represented the applicant and disagreed with the RPD-6
 designation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0072-01

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that Item 112 should be read first because the Planning Commission had recommended a condition that would change the zoning request to RPD-5. If the applicant were in agreement, it would not be necessary to open Item 111; however, since the applicant disagreed, Item 111 needed to be discussed.

MR. SKUSE explained that each parcel up and down Alexander is unique. From Buffalo Drive to Durango Drive, there is an open channel and a 15-foot trail, resulting in serious constraints. The developer is very cognizant of the neighboring residents and sensitive to using the street up against the channel to buffer and stay away from the existing homes. West of Durango Drive entailed the same situation. He noted that Public Works was concerned about the deviation of standards for smaller public street sections. The applicant, to be in compliance with Public Works, revised the site development plan to eliminate the constraints of the channel and the trail. Additionally, the revision has allowed them to meet staff requirements relating to public street standards, open space, sidewalks and the additional four lots.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that the neighborhood was very supportive. He mentioned that there are no existing homes adjacent to this proposed project, and to the south there is overflow parking for the elementary school. The remaining vacant piece to the east is a Bureau of Land Management (BLM) parcel and he was concerned about the westerly movement reaching the estate homes along Alexander Road because the density may not be appropriate abutting the existing homes as it is abutting the parking lot and the BLM parcel. He conveyed that by his support of this project, it would not be setting a new standard for density.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 111 [GPA-0041-01] and Item 112 [Z-0072-01] was held under Item 112 [Z-0072-01].

(2:32 – 2:43)

4-111

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0072-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This application shall be amended to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development – 5 Units per Acre), which has a maximum density of 5.49 units per acre.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road, 30 feet for Campbell Road, and a 25-foot radius on the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Campbell Road.
5. Construct half-street improvements, including appropriate overpaving on Campbell Road and Alexander Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section in Public Works to extend oversized sewer in Alexander Road to Campbell Road to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Improvement drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 5, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0072-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 708 DELTA STREET, DB 4840 WEST CHARLESTON BOULEVARD, U-0052-95(2), U-0002-98(2), U-0133-99(2), U-0134-99(2), U-0135-01, U-0136-01, U-0137-01, V-0069-95(4), V-0072-01, V-0073-01, V-0078-01 – 12/19/2001 COUNCIL MEETING

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

NONE.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 5, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, was concerned that he had received a letter from the City stating that a review would be held at the December 19th City Council meeting; however, the documentation that he had requested was not included. Additionally, the deadline to submit hardship applications by December 14, 2001 may prevent some residents from applying because many are out of town because of the holidays and there would be inadequate time to prepare. MAYOR GOODMAN responded by asking RICHARD GOECKE, Director of Public Works why the requested information was not provided to give the residents ample time to prepare for the City Council meeting. MR. GOECKE shared that the information that was requested is exactly the same as the original assessment notices that were sent months ago. MR. GOECKE also mentioned that he had a discussion with MIKE THOMPSON about the breakdown on assessment costs. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested that if there was not adequate time to prepare, MR. CONTRERAS could appear at the scheduled City Council meeting and ask for an abeyance of two weeks or 30 days, whichever would be more appropriate. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT concurred with MAYOR GOODMAN'S suggestion.

MR. CONTRERAS briefly touched on the subject of the homeless, noticing that along Rancho Drive and Smoke Ranch Road, a number of homeless people are beginning to congregate on that property. MAYOR GOODMAN shared that he recognized the homeless situation described by MR. CONTRERAS. He acknowledged having no qualms about helping people who are homeless and who desperately need help, but for the people who choose to fill their lives with alcohol and drugs, he is stymied as to finding a solution to their homeless dilemma.

(2:43-2:53)

4-382

DOROTHY BARNES addressed the Council and proclaimed that there is a lot of dishonesty in the world. She announced that many people are being lied to, cheated on and stolen from.

(2:53 – 2:55)

4-694

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 2:55 P.M.